JVIN Journal of Vascular and Interventional Neurology

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF ZEENAT QURESHI STROKE INSTITUTE

Neuro Angio Lab Alliance (NALA): A Revolutionary Framework for Standardizing Neurovascular Care

A MENA-SINO Conceptual Framework White Paper - Phase 1

Ossama Yassin Mansour MD[1], Nader Sorour MD[2], Tamer Hassan MD[1], Seby John MD[3], Nadia Hammami MD[4], Hosam Maher Al-Jehani, MBBS, MSc, FRCSC[5], Farid Aladham MD[6], Yahia Imam MD[7], Mostafa Mahmoud MD[7], Farouk Hassan MD[8], Mohamed Alaa Habib MD[9], Abdulrahman Alshamy MD[10], Mohamed Ghorbani MD[11], Faisal Alghamdi MD[12], Ibrahim ALNAAMI MD[13], Amr Mahmoud MD[9], Khalid Sobh MD[14], Amina El Khamlichi MD[15], Abdulmonem Saied MD[16], Mohamed Hamdy MD[17], Ahmad Sobri MUDA MD[18], Umair Rashid MD[19], Hany Zaki eldeen MD[17], Ashfaq Shuaib MD[20]

On behalf of the Middle East and North Africa Stroke and Interventional Neurotherapies Organization (MENA-SINO)

Institutional Affiliations

1. **Stroke and Neurointervention Center, Alexandria University, Egypt**
2. **Department of INR, Al Qassimi Hospital, Emirates Health Services, Sharjah, UAE**
3. **Neurological Institute Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates**
4. **Department of Neurology Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Turkey**
5. **Department of Interventional Neuroradiology Institute National de Neurology, Tunis, Tunisia**
6. **Department of Neurosurgery Imam Abdulrahman AL Faisal University, Saudi Arabia**
7. **Amman Specialized IR Center, Amman, Jordan**
8. **Department of Neuroradiology, Cairo University Hospital, Cairo, Egypt**
9. **Department of Neurosurgery, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt**
10. **Neurosurgery Department, Tehran University, Iran**
11. **Interventional Neuroradiology Department, King Abdullah Medical City, Makkah, Saudi Arabia**
12. **Neurosurgery Department, College of Medicine, King Khalid University Abha, SA**
13. **Department of Neuroradiology, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt**
14. **Neurology Department, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt**
15. **Interventional Neuroradiology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire IBN Sina de Rabat, Morocco**
16. **Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, Military Tripoli General Hospital, Libya**
17. **Department of Neurology, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt**
18. **Neuroradiology Department, University Putra Malaysia**
19. **Neuroradiology Department, LGH, Lahore, Pakistan**
20. **Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada**

Key Words:Acute ischemic stroke, Large vessel occlusion, Endovascular therapy, Stroke intervention, Quality standards, Neurointerventional laboratories

Executive Summary

The landscape of neurovascular medicine stands at a critical juncture. While endovascular therapy has revolutionized stroke treatment, delivering outcomes comparable to cardiac interventions for myocardial infarction, the infrastructure supporting these life-saving procedures remains inconsistent and unstandardized across healthcare systems globally.The Middle East and North Africa Stroke and Interventional Neurotherapies Organization (MENA-SINO) presents the **Neuro Angio Lab Alliance (NALA)** - a comprehensive conceptual framework designed to standardize neurointerventional laboratory operations, staffing, and capabilities across diverse healthcare environments. This document introduces the foundational concepts of NALA, establishing the groundwork for Phase 2: comprehensive evidence-based literature mining and validation of our proposed framework.

The Conceptual Foundation

The Problem Statement

Current neurovascular care delivery suffers from three critical gaps:

1. **Absence of Universal Standards**: Unlike cardiac catheterization laboratories, which operate under well-established criteria, neurointerventional facilities lack standardized operational frameworks
2. **Inconsistent Capability Definition**: No clear taxonomy exists to define what constitutes appropriate levels of neurovascular care across different facility types
3. **Fragmented Quality Metrics**: Performance measurements vary significantly, preventing meaningful comparison and improvement initiatives

The Proposed Solution: NALA Framework

NALA addresses these gaps through two integrated systems:

1. Four-Category Classification Model

A tiered approach recognizing that optimal care delivery requires different facility types with distinct capabilities and responsibilities.

2. Six-Pillar Protocol (6P)

A comprehensive operational framework ensuring consistent excellence across all facility categories.

Conceptual Architecture

The Four-Category Model: Defining Capability Tiers

Our conceptual model proposes four distinct facility categories, each with specific roles in the neurovascular care continuum:

Category 1: Essential Cath Lab

The Diagnostic Foundation

Core Concept: Facilities primarily focused on diagnostic capabilities with selective interventional procedures under supervision.

Key Characteristics:

- Diagnostic neuroangiography as primary function
- Virtual proctorship integration for complex procedures
- Limited emergency capability with appropriate backup systems

Category 2: Emergency Procedure-Ready Cath Lab

The 24/7 Response Center

Core Concept: Facilities equipped and staffed for round-the-clock emergency neurovascular interventions.

Key Characteristics:

- Continuous coverage for acute stroke interventions
- Comprehensive emergency neurovascular capabilities
- Thrombectomy-ready designation with full support systems

Category 3: Advanced NeuroCath Lab (Non-Hub)

The Comprehensive Care Center

Core Concept: Full-service facilities providing complete neurovascular care independently.

Key Characteristics:

- Complete spectrum of ischemic and hemorrhagic interventions

- Independent operation without hub coordination
- Direct-to-angio capability with comprehensive backup

Category 4: Advanced NeuroCath Lab (Hub)

The Regional Coordination Center

Core Concept: Advanced facilities that coordinate regional care networks while providing comprehensive services.

Key Characteristics:

- All capabilities of Category 3 facilities
- Regional network coordination and patient transfer management
- EMS integration and spoke-facility support

The 6P Protocol: Operational Excellence Framework

Our conceptual framework proposes six fundamental pillars ensuring consistent excellence across all facility categories:

P1: PEOPLE - Human Resources Excellence

The right professionals with appropriate training and credentials in optimal organizational structures.

Conceptual Elements:

- Medical director requirements and responsibilities
- Primary operator credentialing and volume standards
- Support team composition and training requirements
- 24/7 coverage models for emergency-designated facilities

P2: PLACE - Physical Infrastructure Optimization

Purpose-designed environments optimized for neurovascular interventions.

Conceptual Elements:

- Minimum space requirements and ergonomic design principles
- Strategic positioning relative to emergency and critical care areas
- Equipment specifications including imaging system requirements
- Radiation protection and safety infrastructure standards

P3: PRODUCTS - Device and Supply Management

Comprehensive inventory management ensuring immediate availability of life-saving technologies.

Conceptual Elements:

- Evidence-based device selection criteria
- Inventory management systems and par level maintenance
- Emergency medication accessibility protocols
- Quality control and performance tracking systems

P4: PROTOCOLS - Standardized Workflow Excellence

Evidence-based procedures ensuring consistent, safe, and effective interventions.

Conceptual Elements:

- Brain attack activation and EMS integration protocols
- Standardized procedural approaches and documentation systems
- Safety protocols for special populations
- Quality assurance and improvement methodologies

P5: PERFORMANCE - Metrics and Continuous Improvement

Comprehensive measurement systems driving continuous enhancement of care quality.

Conceptual Elements:

- Volume requirements for maintaining procedural competency
- Quality outcome metrics including technical and clinical success rates
- Time-based performance indicators
- Benchmarking and comparative effectiveness measures

P6: PROTECTION - Comprehensive Safety Framework

Holistic safety measures protecting patients, staff, and ensuring regulatory compliance.

Conceptual Elements:

- Radiation safety protocols and dose optimization strategies
- Contrast safety and nephropathy prevention measures
- Procedural complication prevention and management
- Staff safety and occupational health measures

Theoretical Advantages of the NALA Framework

For Healthcare Systems

- **Scalable Implementation**: Facilities can operate effectively at appropriate capability levels
- **Resource Optimization**: Clear guidance for equipment and staffing investments
- **Quality Assurance**: Standardized metrics enable meaningful performance comparison
- **Risk Management**: Comprehensive safety protocols reduce liability exposure

For Clinical Teams

- **Role Clarity**: Defined responsibilities and competency requirements
- **Professional Development**: Clear pathways for skill advancement and credentialing
- **Operational Efficiency**: Standardized workflows reduce variability and errors
- **Safety Enhancement**: Comprehensive protection protocols for all stakeholders

for Patients

- **Consistent Quality**: Standardized care regardless of facility location
- **Appropriate Care Matching**: Clear criteria for facility selection based on condition complexity
- **Reduced Delays**: Optimized workflows and clear transfer protocols
- **Enhanced Outcomes**: Evidence-based approaches throughout the care continuum

---

Conceptual Validation Requirements

To transition from concept to evidence-based framework, Phase 2 will require comprehensive literature analysis addressing:

1. Volume-Outcome Relationships

- Minimum procedural volumes for maintaining competency across intervention types
- Relationship between facility volume and patient outcomes
- Evidence for proposed volume thresholds in each facility category

2. Staffing and Training Standards

- Optimal team composition for different facility types
- Training requirements and competency maintenance protocols
- Evidence for proposed credentialing and supervision models

3. Infrastructure and Equipment Requirements

- Physical space optimization studies
- Equipment specification validation
- Cost-effectiveness analyses of proposed infrastructure standards

4. Process and Protocol Effectiveness

- Evidence for proposed workflow optimizations
- Time metric benchmarks and their relationship to outcomes
- Validation of safety protocol effectiveness

5. Quality Metrics and Benchmarking

- Evidence-based performance indicators
- Appropriate benchmarks for different facility categories
- Comparative effectiveness measures across care models

6. Economic and Implementation Considerations

- Cost-effectiveness of tiered care models
- Implementation barriers and facilitators
- Economic impact of standardization initiatives

Phase 2 Methodology Preview

The upcoming evidence-based validation phase will employ:

Systematic Literature Review

- Comprehensive database searches using validated methodologies
- Evidence grading using established frameworks (GRADE, Cochrane standards)
- Meta-analysis where appropriate for quantitative outcomes

Expert Consensus Development

- Modified Delphi process for areas with limited published evidence
- International expert panel input on proposed standards
- Stakeholder feedback integration from multiple healthcare system types

Real-World Data Analysis

- Retrospective analysis of existing high-performing facilities
- Benchmarking studies across different operational models
- Economic analysis of implementation costs and benefits

Expected Outcomes and Impact

Upon completion of Phase 2 evidence validation, NALA will provide:

Immediate Deliverables

- Evidence-based facility certification criteria
- Standardized operational protocols with literature support
- Implementation guidelines adapted to diverse healthcare contexts
- Quality metrics with validated benchmarks

Long-term Impact

- Improved patient outcomes through standardized excellence
- Enhanced resource utilization across healthcare systems
- Reduced practice variability and associated complications
- Framework for ongoing quality improvement and innovation

Call for Collaboration

The NALA framework represents an ambitious undertaking requiring broad collaboration across the international neurovascular community. We invite:

Research Partners

To contribute expertise in systematic review methodologies, health services research, and implementation science.

Clinical Leaders

To provide real-world insights and validation of proposed standards from diverse practice environments.

Healthcare Administrators

To contribute perspectives on implementation feasibility, resource requirements, and operational considerations.

Technology Partners

To provide insights on equipment capabilities, emerging technologies, and infrastructure optimization.

Conclusion: The Foundation for Transformation

The NALA conceptual framework represents a comprehensive approach to addressing the critical need for standardization in neurovascular care delivery. By proposing a tiered facility model supported by evidence-based operational protocols, NALA provides a roadmap for healthcare systems seeking to optimize their neurovascular capabilities.

Phase 1 establishes the conceptual foundation. Phase 2 will provide the evidence-based validation necessary to transform concept into actionable standards. The ultimate goal remains unchanged: ensuring that every patient requiring neurovascular intervention receives optimal care delivered by appropriately trained teams in properly equipped facilities.

The framework is conceptualized. The methodology is defined. The collaboration begins now.

Next Steps

1. **Stakeholder Engagement**: Building the international collaborative network for Phase 2
2. **Literature Review Protocol Development**: Establishing systematic review methodologies
3. **Expert Panel Assembly**: Recruiting international advisors for consensus development
4. **Pilot Site Identification**: Selecting facilities for real-world validation studies

About This Initiative

This white paper represents the collective vision of MENA-SINO leadership and international collaborators committed to advancing neurovascular care quality through evidence-based standardization. The NALA framework development is supported by a growing network of clinical leaders, researchers, and healthcare administrators united in the goal of optimizing patient outcomes through systematic excellence.

For information about participating in Phase 2 development or early implementation opportunities, contact the MENA-SINO NALA Development Committee.

References

1. Albers, G. W., Marks, M. P., Kemp, S., Christensen, S., Tsai, J. P., Ortega-Gutierrez, S., … & Lansberg, M. G. (2018). Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. New England Journal of Medicine, 378(8), 708-718.
2. Goyal, M., Menon, B. K., van Zwam, W. H., Dippel, D. W., Mitchell, P. J., Demchuk, A. M., … & HERMES collaborators. (2016). Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. The Lancet, 387(10029), 1723-1731.
3. Powers, W. J., Rabinstein, A. A., Ackerson, T., Adeoye, O. M., Bambakidis, N. C., Becker, K., … & Stroke Council. (2019). Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update. Stroke, 50(12), e344-e418.
4. Nogueira, R. G., Jadhav, A. P., Haussen, D. C., Bonafe, A., Budzik, R. F., Bhuva, P., … & DAWN Trial Investigators. (2018). Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. New England Journal of Medicine, 378(1), 11-21.
5. Turk, A. S., Siddiqui, A., Fifi, J. T., De Leacy, R., Fiorella, D., Gu, E., … & COMPASS Trial Investigators. (2019). Comparison of endovascular treatment approaches for acute ischemic stroke: Efficacy and safety. JAMA Neurology, 76(12), 1466-1473.
6. Saver, J. L., Goyal, M., Bonafe, A., Diener, H. C., Levy, E. I., Pereira, V. M., … & SWIFT PRIME Investigators. (2015). Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. New England Journal of Medicine, 372(24), 2285-2295.
7. Liebeskind, D. S., Bracard, S., Guillemin, F., Jahan, R., Jovin, T. G., Majoie, C. B., … & HERMES Collaborators. (2019). eTICI reperfusion: Defining success in endovascular stroke therapy. Journal of NeuroInterventional Surgery, 11(5), 433-438.
8. Zaidat, O. O., Castonguay, A. C., Linfante, I., Gupta, R., Martin, C. O., Holloway, W. E., … & STRATIS Investigators. (2018). First pass effect: A new measure for stroke thrombectomy devices. Stroke, 49(3), 660-666.
9. Froehler, M. T., Saver, J. L., Zaidat, O. O., Jahan, R., Aziz-Sultan, M. A., Klucznik, R. P., … & STRATIS Investigators. (2017). Interhospital transfer before thrombectomy is associated with delayed treatment and worse outcome in the STRATIS registry. Circulation, 136(24), 2311-2321.